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ABSTRACT

Affirmative action, in contemporary political philosophy, has been the most debatable issue. Some Philosophers say that there should not be any policy which is far from the equality before law and Affirmative Action is a policy of preferential treatment which is done to uplift the deprived and underrepresented class on the cost of others’ possession that is against the equality before law. While, some Philosophers say that there should be Affirmative Action for assuring a level playing field. It’s because there is disparity in social-economical scenario dispersed in the society. The deprived class is far from the favorable socio-economical scenario as the groups of main stream are entertaining. In this paper, I will try to criticize John Kekes’ arguments in disfavor of Affirmative Action. The paper will conclude by advancing my own position regarding this issue.
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